

result of delinking of welfare and Medicaid eligibility (\$0.2).

CHIPS:

Increase CHIPS amount for Possessions and provide technical fix to CHIPS formula (\$0.1).

Beneficiary improvements:

Immuno-suppressive drugs, cover without a time limit (\$0.3); Allow States to require M+C plans to cover certain benefits (like MA used to do with Rx (\$0); Allow people abandoned by M+C plans to buy a medi-gap policy which covers Rx (\$0); Coverage of cancer treatment for low-income women (\$0.3) HR 1070, by Rep Eshoo and Lazio and 271 cosponsors.

Pay-fors:

3 Medicare items from President's budget: mental health partial hospitalization reform, Medicare Secondary Payer data match, and pay for outpatient drugs at 83% of average wholesale price. (\$2.4).

CONGRATULATING JOSEPH MOFFETT ON HIS BEING SELECTED TO COMPETE IN THE NATIONAL BIRDING COMPETITION

HON. RICHARD E. NEAL

OF MASSACHUSETTS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, November 8, 1999

Mr. NEAL of Massachusetts. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to congratulate Joseph Moffett for being selected to the ABA/Leica Tropicbirds Team of 1999. Joseph, along with three other youths, has been chosen to compete in The Florida Space Coast Flyway Festival birdathon. This is a national birding competition which will be held on November 13, 1999.

Joseph, who is fifteen years old, lives in Mendon, Massachusetts and is a member of the ABA and the Massachusetts Audubon Society. Joe is also a member of many other birding clubs including; the Brookline Bird Club, the Forbush Bird Club, and the Stony Brook Bird Club. Joe works at the Stony Brook Audubon Sanctuary as a volunteer naturalist and a counselor in training. Joe also takes part in the Christmas Bird Count and Massachusetts Audubon Birdathon fund-raiser. Joe keeps lists of the birds he sees on various birding outings and submits them to the Bird Observer, a birding journal.

In addition to Joe's birding skills, he is also a proponent of environmental protection. Joe has started a rainforest club in his school and has raised money to save acreage of a rainforest. Most of the birding events that Joe participates in are also fund-raisers, which raise money for the protection of new bird species that are found during the events and for the protection of birds in general.

Mr. Speaker, it is my great pleasure to congratulate Joseph Moffett on his accomplishments and commend him for being a model citizen and a great influence to his community.

CONFERENCE REPORT ON S. 900, GRAMM-LEACH-BLILEY ACT

SPEECH OF

HON. BARBARA LEE

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, November 4, 1999

Ms. LEE. Madam Speaker, I rise to express my concern as well as that of my constituents regarding the Senate version, the Gramm version, of the Financial Services Modernization Act.

The initial report by the media that the conference report met the expectations of consumer advocates raised hopes that the Senate would meet the House's commitment to two major aspects of this critically important bill: the Community Reinvestment Act provisions and the necessary protection of the privacy of consumer, customer information, and records. I continue to want to vote for a Financial Services Modernization bill.

I want to address the importance of the Community Reinvestment Act which is also known as CRA. This act was passed almost 30 years ago to say that banks should also lend to low-income customers and neighborhoods in their areas of operation. In the 23 years of bank practices to meet CRA provisions, an impressive \$1 trillion has been generated as loans to low-income customers; the clear majority of banks recognize the value of CRA as a powerful tool to build community trust and respect for the otherwise cold marble and steel of stone-hearted bankers.

Let me share a success story of CRA in my community, affecting my constituents in Oakland and adjoining cities. The success story is about an old, crumbling, and once-beloved vegetable and meat market known as Swan's Marketplace.

In the last two decades, as residents and businesses flowed out of downtown, Swan's found it more and more difficult to survive. It finally had to close. Stories were written about its demise. It took years, but the city government and the people of Oakland and community agencies knowledgeable about CRA, our community heroes, the very same people that Senator GRAMM so recklessly labels as "extortionists," pulled together, in a magnificent civil effort to create a wonderful center combining almost every aspect of community development into one square city block. The heroes and sheroes who put this together say: "We have a market, affordable housing, services to special populations and community revitalization. On top of that, we've included use of the arts for economic development and restored and preserved a city historic landmark."

I hardly have to add that the housing is a wonderful plus in an area with severe housing shortages, and that jobs have been created, and that an essential community success has added to the revitalization of a declining downtown not only during the day but also at night.

Swan's was complex from a banking perspective. "There's nothing commonplace about it" said a representative from a large local bank that provided a \$7.8 million construction loan. CRA had encouraged banks to look at financing difficult projects that benefit communities. Before CRA, banks may have dismissed the project as too difficult, but CRA has provided the needed motivation which has prompted banks to successfully invest in communities.

The story of CRA's important role in the reformation of Swan's Marketplace is not a rare occurrence. Community after community have called on members of the Banking Committee and the Commerce Committee to protect, and to include the CRA provisions in any banking modernization bill. I have worked since I joined Congress over a year ago, to include the basic elements of CRA in H.R. 10.

The House-passed version of the Financial Modernization bill, to my mind, had fairly weak CRA provisions by excluding securities and insurance functions. But the Gramm version weakens these protections even further by requiring banks to report every 5 years.

Senator GRAMM added a wickedly ironical provision that he describes as a "sunshine" regulation. In California sunshine provisions protect citizens by requiring that the legislative bodies act with proper and timely notice being given to the public on time of meeting and publication of issues to be discussed.

This sunshine provision in Senator GRAMM's bill is a terrible perversion of that protection. This provision mandates that community organizations working with banks to produce more affordable housing have to report on their functions, and their contracts. These reporting requirements are not made of financial institutions, only community organizations. Instead of treating these groups as heroes for their life-saving, community-saving work, they must report like criminals.

Presently, banks have to meet a satisfactory rating, and then maintain it in order to be favorable considered for expansion or mergers. S. 900 allows these banks to meet the "satisfactory" standard only once and frees them from further obligation to maintain it. Do it once and you are free of obligations thereafter. This is a terrible travesty of present CRA practices.

The other major weakness in S. 900 has to do with the easy access to customer's private information that is available. Presently, each one of the three functions: banking, insurance, and securities, cannot share their customers' information with each other. With the passage of S. 900 the walls are down.

Insurance companies have records on a customer's health. This record will now be available to the bank, or the insurance company that can now offer banking services, when you apply for a loan. Is this information that should be so easily available. Is this what our constituents would allow? I don't think so.

However, should customers want to know how the bank, or the insurance company, or the securities sales office is handling their account and ask for a record, and possibly make the necessary corrections, they will not be able to do so. We are considering legislation that could really produce nightmare situations for our constituents.

S. 900 only asks that banks report their plan to protect privacy without any obligation to any one, or any institution to implement it, to modify it, or to improve it. This is a hollow requirement, devoid of substance.

These are two of the major flaws of S. 900. But I have to raise the objections that I raised in the Banking Committee about the consequences of financial services modernization without appropriate safeguards.

S. 900 will allow for further mergers and conglomeratization. It will once again expose us to the congressional, national liability for the \$500 billion bailout of the savings and loan industry of the 1980's.